

W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, MI

Recommendation: Close W.K. Kellogg Airport Air Guard Station, Michigan. Distribute the 110th Fighter Wing's A-10s (15 aircraft) to the 127th Wing (ANG), Selfridge ANGB, Michigan.

Justification: The Air Force placed one squadron at Selfridge (62) because it is significantly higher in military value than Kellogg (122). The Air Force retired the older F-16s from Selfridge and combined the two fighter units into one squadron at Selfridge to retain trained and skilled Michigan ANG Airmen from both locations.

Payback: The total estimated one-time cost to the Department to implement this recommendation is \$8.3 million. The Net of all costs and savings to the Department during the implementation period is a savings of \$47 million. Annual recurring savings to the Department after implementation are \$13 million with an immediate payback expected. The net present value of the cost and savings to the Department over 20 years is a savings of \$167 million.

Economic Impact on Communities: Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 441 jobs (274 direct jobs and 167 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Battle Creek, Michigan Metropolitan Statistical economic area, which is 0.59 percent of economic area employment. The aggregate economic impact of all recommended actions on this economic region of influence was considered and is at Appendix B of Volume I.

Community Infrastructure Assessment: A review of community attributes indicates no issues regarding the ability of the infrastructure of the communities to support missions, forces and personnel. There are no known community infrastructure impediments to implementation of all recommendations affecting the installations in this recommendation.

Environmental Impact: There are potential impacts to air quality; cultural, archeological, or tribal resources; land use constraints or sensitive resource areas; noise; waste management; and wetlands that may need to be considered during the implementation of this recommendation. There are no anticipated impacts to dredging; marine mammals, resources, or sanctuaries; threatened and endangered species or critical habitat; or water resources. Impacts of costs include \$458 thousand in costs for environmental compliance and waste management. These costs were included in the payback calculation. There are no anticipated impacts to the costs of environmental restoration. The aggregate environmental impact of all recommended BRAC actions affecting the installations in this recommendation have been reviewed. There are no known environmental impediments to the implementation of this recommendation.