

Reno-Tahoe International Airport Air Guard Station, NV

Recommendation: Realign Reno-Tahoe International Airport (International Airport) Air Guard Station. Distribute the eight C-130H aircraft of the 152d Airlift Wing (ANG) to the 189th Airlift Wing (ANG), Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas. Flying related Expeditionary Combat Support (ECS) moves to Channel Islands Air Guard Station, California (aerial port) and Fresno Air Guard Station, California (fire fighters). The remaining ECS elements and the Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS) remain in place.

Justification: This recommendation distributes C-130 force structure to a higher military value base. Because of limitations to land and ramp space, Reno was unable to expand beyond 10 C-130s. This recommendation realigns Reno's (101) C-130s to the Air National Guard at Little Rock Air Force Base (17), where a larger, more effective squadron size is possible. This larger squadron at Little Rock also creates the opportunity for an association between active duty and the Air National Guard, optimizing aircraft utilization.

Payback: The total estimated one-time cost to the Department of Defense to implement this recommendation is \$23 million. The net of all costs and savings to the Department during the implementation period is a cost of \$12 million. Annual recurring savings to the Department after implementation are \$3.6 million, with a payback expected in 9 years. The net present value of the cost and savings to the Department over 20 years is a savings of \$23 million.

Economic Impact on Communities: Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 263 jobs (147 direct jobs and 116 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Reno-Sparks, Nevada Metropolitan Statistical economic area, which is 0.11 percent of economic area employment. The aggregate economic impact of all recommended actions on this economic region of influence was considered and is at Appendix B of Volume I.

Community Infrastructure Assessment: A review of community attributes indicates no issues regarding the ability of the infrastructure of the communities to support forces, missions and personnel. There are no known community infrastructure impediments to implementation of all recommendations affecting the installations in this recommendation.

Environmental Impact: There are potential impacts to air quality; cultural, archeological, or tribal resources; land use constraints or sensitive resource areas; noise; threatened and endangered species or critical habitat; waste management; water resources; and wetlands that may need to be considered during the implementation of this recommendation. There are no anticipated impacts to dredging; or marine mammals, resources, or sanctuaries. Impacts of costs include \$87 thousand in costs for environmental compliance and waste management. These costs were included in the payback calculation. There are no anticipated impacts to the costs of environmental

restoration. The aggregate environmental impact of all recommended BRAC actions affecting the installations in this recommendation have been reviewed. There are no known environmental impediments to the implementation of this recommendation.