

SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS [TABS FINAL VERSION]

SCENARIO # 592 TITLE: INT-0010 CONSOLIDATE SELECT DIA ANALYTICAL ELEMENTS AT CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA

GENERAL DESCRIPTION: Realign select DIA analytical elements to establish a COOP/mission assurance and analytic capability at a new facility adjacent to the National Ground Intelligence Center (NGIC) at Charlottesville, VA. Losing (realigned) sites are not Army-owned.

Gaining site is an approved FY04 MCA land acquisition for the Army intended to extend the force protection buffer around the existing NGIC facility. This land will be acquired free of environmental liabilities to DoD, and without BRAC funding. This assessment is based on successful acquisition of suitable land.

Some parts of this scenario are classified, however, this SSEI contains no Classified information.

ANALYST: _____

LAST UPDATE: 2/3/05

Env Resource Area	<i>Gaining Installation Assessment</i> Inst Name: Specific Site Pending Acquisition	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	No Impact Expected.	No specific environmental data at gaining site is available, as land is pending acquisition; however no impacts are expected (to any of the 10 resource areas) based on the administrative nature of added mission and the requirement that the government purchase land free of environmental liabilities.
Cultural/Archaeological/Tribal Resources	No Impact Expected.	
Drinking	No Impact Expected.	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resource Areas	No Impact Expected.	
Marine Mammals/Marine	No Impact Expected.	
Noise	No Impact Expected.	
Threatened/Endangered Species/Critical	No Impact Expected.	
Waste Management	No Impact Expected.	
Water Resources	No Impact Expected.	
Wetlands	No Impact Expected.	

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)

SCENARIO # 592 (INT-0010)

Env Resource Area	<i>Losing</i> Installation Assessment Inst Name: N/A	Analyst Comments (& data source(s) that drive assessment)
Air Quality	N/A	Losing sites are not Army installations.
Cultural/ Archeological Resources	N/A	
Dredging	N/A	
Land Use Constraints/Sensitive Resources	N/A	
Marine Mammals/Marine Resources	N/A	
Noise	N/A	
Threatened & Endangered Species/Critical Habitat	N/A	
Waste Management	N/A	
Water Resources	N/A	
Wetlands	N/A	

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF SCENARIO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS (CONTINUED)

SCENARIO # **592 (INT-0010)**

IMPACTS OF COSTS

Env Resource Area	Gaining Installation Inst Name: Specific Site Pending Acquisition	Losing Installation Inst Name: N/A
Environmental Restoration*	None.	None.
Waste Management	None.	None.
Environmental Compliance	Re-alignment NEPA at gaining base (based on moving more than 1000 and less than 2000 personnel.) - \$400K	None.
COBRA Costs:	Re-alignment NEPA - \$400K	None.

Summary of Scenario Environmental Impacts - Criterion 8

Scenario ID#: INT0010

Brief Description: _____

<u>General Environmental Impacts</u>	
Environmental Resource Area	Bolling
Air Quality	No impact
Cultural/ Archeological/ Tribal Resources	No impact
Dredging	No impact
Land Use Constraints/ Sensitive Resource Areas	No impact
Marine Mammals/ Marine Resources/ Marine Sanctuaries	No impact
Noise	No increase in off-base noise is expected.
Threatened & Endangered Species/ Critical Habitat	No impact
Waste Management	No impact
Water Resources	No impact
Wetlands	No impact

<u>Impacts of Costs</u>	
	Bolling
Environmental Restoration	DERA money spent through FY03 (\$K): 15,766 Estimated CTC (\$K): 4,142 DO NOT ENTER IN COBRA
Waste Management	No impact
Environmental Compliance	No impact

(U) Other Environmental Considerations

(U) For those scenarios where the losing installation is leased property, the scenario proponent may assume, absent data to the contrary that the owner of that property will continue to lease it for similar purposes, and as such, departing such leased location will not adversely impact the environment. Furthermore, the owner of the leased property will become responsible for all environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance at the leased location upon termination of the lease. The scenario proponent may assume that the closure or realignment scenario will not result in costs related to environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance, unless the lease expressly requires such activities before the lease may be terminated (in which case these costs should be included in the summary). Absent any such lease requirements, the scenario proponent need only request that a summary of Scenario Environmental Impacts be completed by the Military Department or DLA for the gaining installation.

(U) Based on the above guidance, the following leased locations do not have a Criterion 8 report:

Crystal Park 5, Arlington, VA