

BRAC 2005
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group (TJCSG)
Meeting Minutes of 21 October 2004

Mr. Shaffer chaired the meeting. The agenda is enclosed in attachment 1. The list of attendees is enclosed in attachment 2. Pre-meeting documentation for the meeting is enclosed in attachment 3. The primary objective for the meeting was to review the Subgroups updated potential scenarios. The agenda topics are listed below in the order in which they were covered. The key points, decisions and action items from the meeting are as follows:

Weapons and Armaments Updated Scenarios – Dr. Higgins

Key Points:

- The Weapons & Armaments Subgroup presented 11 core scenarios; with the first scenario having 3 subset scenarios and the second scenario having 2 subset scenarios. Two of the eleven core scenarios were directed by the ISG at its 15 Oct 04 meeting for the TJCSG to evaluate. One was to move technical assets from Rock Island Arsenal to Picatinny to accommodate the Army scenario to close Rock Island Arsenal. The second one was to move research from Watervliet to Picatinny to accommodate the Army scenario to close Watervliet.
- Those scenarios that have Redstone, Wright-Patterson AF Base or Ft. Belvoir as receivers should be considered an alternate due to potential conflicts with other JCSGs and the Services. There is a possibility that the potential receiver might not be able to handle all the potential activities that might relocate there.
- It was questioned if we should register small number of complex scenarios or large number of simple scenarios. W&A Subgroup supports complex scenarios due to the fact that specialty sites are difficult to relocate and the fragility of the intellectual capital.

Decisions:

- To register Scenario 1, “Relocate W&A RDAT&E at 3 primary locations and 4 specialty capability locations”. It was recommended to add guns from Dahlgren as a possible donor to Picatinny. Also, to list Dahlgren as an alternate site to replace Picatinny as a primary receiver. The TJCSG recommended this as a high potential scenario.
- To register Scenario 1a, “Relocate Air Launched W&A related RDAT&E from Pax River and Point Mugu to Eglin vs. China Lake”. The TJCSG recommended this as a high potential scenario.
- Scenario 1b, “Shipboard Integration at Dahlgren vs. core sites”, was put on hold. Therefore, it will not be registered.
- Scenario 1c, “Energetic materials from Crane, Yorktown, Aberdeen to Indian Head vs. core sites”, was rated medium by the TJCSG. This scenario will be registered.

- Scenario 2 and subsets (a & b) were not recommended to be registered.
- Scenario 3, "Relocate DoD Guns & Ammo RD&A at one location", was rated medium by the TJCSG. It was suggested that the scenario mentions alternate site since this violates the principle of single point failure espoused by Dr. Sega. Possible alternate site could be Dahlgren.
- Scenario 4, "Relocate DoD underwater weapons RDAT&E at one location", was rated low by the TJCSG. Again, this scenario violates the principle of single point failure and a possible alternate site could be Panama City.
- Scenario 5, "Relocate Navy ship systems/weapons integration RDAT&E at one location" was put on hold.
- Scenario 6, "Relocate DoD directed energy research at one location", was rated high to be registered.
- Scenario 7, "Relocation DoD Directed Energy T&E and selected weapons T&E at one location", was rated high to be registered.
- Scenario 8, Relocate RD&A Energetic capability From Crane, Aberdeen, and Yorktown to Indian Head", was rated medium to be registered.
- It was recommended to delete Scenario 9, Relocate Weapons and Armaments 6.1 research to one location". This scenario will be addressed in a proposed scenario from the Innovative Systems.
- Scenarios 10 & 11 were directed by the ISG and are actually subsets to Scenario 3. They will be registered but are considered a low priority by the TJCSG.

Air, Land, Sea, Space Potential Scenarios – Mr. Thom Mathes

Key Points:

- The ALSS presented 9 scenarios; one of the 9 scenarios was directed by the ISG at its 15 Oct 04 meeting for the TJCSG to evaluate. One was to move ground vehicle Research, D&A, T&E from Detroit Arsenal to Selfridge ANG base to accommodate the Army scenario to close Detroit.

Decisions:

- Scenario 1, "Create joint Centers for Air Platform RDAT&E", was previously registered. The TJCSG rated this scenario low.
- Scenario 2, "Rotary Wing Joint Centers", the TJCSG rated this high and it will be registered.
- Scenario 3, "Fixed Wing Joint Centers", the TJCSG rated this high and it will be registered.
- Scenario 4, "Ground Platform RDAT&E Centers", the TJCSG rated this high and it will be registered.
- Scenario 5, "Create Joint Centers for Space RDAT&E", the TJCSG rated this high and it will be registered.
- Scenario 6, "Create Joint Center for Space Research", the TJCSG rated this medium and it will be registered.

- Scenario 7, “Create Joint Center for Space D&A”, the TJCSG rated this medium and it will be registered.
- Scenario 8, “Optimize Sea Vehicle RDAT&E”, will be placed on hold due to the fact that there are questions about the validity of the sea vehicle data.
- Scenario 9, “Move Detroit Arsenal RDAT&E to Selfridge ANG Base”, was directed by the ISG and will be registered. The TJCSG rated this high.

Data Concerns – Mr. Al Shaffer & Mr. Potochney (by telephone)

Key Points:

- There were concerns regarding the ability to do LOM and receiving the RFCs from the services in time to analyze all the scenarios. Currently, there are 766 outstanding RFCs concerning the present data.
- Service TJCSG principals must work with Service BRAC offices to get data fixed. If Service BRAC office cannot fix data in a timely matter satisfactorily to the TJCSG, the TJCSG needs to raise concern to the ISG
- After the corrections to the Organization codes are completed, it is expected that there will be additional 1000 RFCs that will be going to the Services for missing data.
- Each Service is correcting the problem with the Organization Code and the CIT will decide way to ensure consistency of approach to the data. The Air Force organization code will be corrected by noon on Friday, 22 Oct 04. The Army data not fixed to date.
- Al Shaffer stated that the TJCSG would produce a report like Mr. Wynne’s preamble for the Industrial JCSGs that was handed out at the Oct 19 TJCSG meeting.
- Pete Potochney recommended that there should be a set of rules that determines what to do with data and how to handle problems so you have a consistent way to move RFCs and determine whether response is adequate.

Process on De-conflicting Scenarios – Mr. Al Shaffer & Mr. Potochney (by telephone)

- At some point TJCSG needs to take a step back and look at scenarios across the board to understand how they relate. It was suggested that the Analytical Team review the scenarios for conflicts and missed opportunities.
- The ISG empowered Deputy Assistant Secretaries to evaluate possible scenario conflicts among registered and array them in three categories
 - Independent—no relation to other scenarios proceed
 - Enabling—support a decision (Close Facility Y and Facility Z at Base A)
 - Conflicting—Service wants to close base JCSG wants to plus up or more than one service and JCSG wants to plus up a base that may not have enough room. There are also authority issue conflicts. A Military Department or JCSG puts forth a scenario that is outside the authority granted by SeCDeF on what functions to be evaluated

- The DASs with reps from JCSGSs review and categorize conflicts. For conflicts, recommend alternative scenarios that enable JCSG and Mil Dep scenarios to be implemented. An example, if a MilDep wants to close a base JCSG wants to plus up, JCSG must run a scenario that factors the closure and MilDep must run scenario keeping it open. Idea is to run both scenarios. The ISG is not approving scenarios it is just requesting that MilDep and JCSGs runs scenarios to ensure that all possibilities are covered. The JCSGs have responsibility and authority for the analysis.
- Reminder that Scenarios can be data or strategy driven. Strategy scenarios must be supported by data.

C4ISR – Mr. Matt Mleziva

Key Points:

- The C4ISR presented 12 scenarios as individual work packages.

Decisions:

- The first 6 scenarios were not considered transformational and therefore were not recommended to be registered.
- Scenario 7, C4ISR Cross DTAP & Function”, the TJCSG rated this high and it will be registered.
- Scenarios 8 & 9 will be registered at a later date.

Innovative Systems (IS) – Mr. Larry Schuette

Key Points:

- The IS presented 2 scenarios.

Decisions:

- Both Scenarios were rated high and will be registered.
- The second scenario, “Co-locate Extramural Research Program Managers (Joint Center of Excellence)”, will be revised by eliminating the reference to the Services S&T executives. The relocation of S&T executives is not a BRAC function.

Enabling Technology (ET) – Dr. Bill Berry

Key Points:

- The ET presented 7 scenarios.

October 25, 2004

BRAC FOUO

Decisions:

All scenarios were recommended to be registered by the TJCSG. They were all rated medium except for Scenario 5, "Joint Training RD&A Center", which was rated high.

Action Items:

- 1) Recommended scenarios will be rewritten in BRAC terms and be registered. (Action: Marie Felix)
- 2) Service TJCSG principals will work with Service BRAC offices to correct the data. (Action: TJCSG & CIT Service Principals)

Next TJCSG Meeting (VTC) is scheduled for Tuesday, 26 October 2004, 0900-1000 hrs EDT, Pentagon VTC Rm 4E987.

Approved:  26 OCT 04

Mr. Al Shaffer
Acting Chairman
Technical Joint Cross Service Group

Attachments:

1. Outline -Agenda
2. List of Attendees
3. Pre-meeting documentation

TJCSG Agenda

21 Oct 04, 0900-1600 hrs EDT

Crystal City, PT-1, Rm 9200

- **Timeline - COL DeSalva**
- **Data Metrics - COL DeSalva**
- **Open Issues (If Any- Mr. Shaffer)**
- **Weapons & Armaments Updated Scenarios - Dr. Higgins**
- **ALSS Updated Scenarios - Mr. Mathes**
- **Discussion of Weapons/Platform Integration Scenario and decision for which subgroup will own it**
- **C4ISR Scenario Update - Mr. Mleziva**
- **Innovative Systems Scenario Update - Dr. Schuette**
- **Enabling Technologies Scenario Update - Dr. Berry**

Attachment 2
Technical JCSG Meeting
October 21, 2004
Attendees

Members:

Mr. Al Shaffer, Alternate TJCSG Chairman
Dr. Dan Stewart, Air Force
Dr. J. Foulkes, Army
Mr. George Ryan, Alternate for RADM Jay Cohen, Navy
Mr. Jay Erb, JCS
COL Walt Hamm, Marines

Other:

Mr. B. Simmons, Army CIT Rep
Dr. Jim Short, OSD
Mr. Jon Ogg, Air Force (Via VTC)
Mr. Pete Cahill, Army
Mr. Jerry Schiefer, OSD BRAC
Ms. Marie Felix, OSD
Mr. Andy Porth, OSD
Col Eileen Walling, Air Force (Via VTC)
CDR Jim Melone, Navy
Mr. Matt Mleziva, Air Force
Dr. Joe Hoeg, Navy
Dr. Walt Bryzik, Army
Mr. Jerry LaCamera, Navy
Larry Schuette, Navy
Dr. Bob Rohde, Army
Mr. Steve Kratzmeier, Army
Dr. Bill Berry, OSD
Mr. Roger Florence, DoD IG
Dr. Karen Higgins, W&A Subgroup
Mr. Thom Mathes, ALSS Subgroup
Mr. Don DeYoung, Navy
Mr. Peter O'Neill, W&A Subgroup
Mr. Al Goldstayn, AF CIT
Dr. Henk Ruck, AF
BG Castle, AF
Ms. Eileen Shibley, Marines
Dr. Foster, ET

Critical Unresolved Issues (As of 19 Oct 04)

Reference Number	Issue	Issue Paper Author/Date of Submittal to CIT	Required TJCSG Executive Action/Date of Next TJCSG Mtg	CIT Recommendations
10-19-04-01	Physical Infrastructure Compromise	Mr. Al Shaffer	Needs CIT Recommendation	Army – AF – Navy – Marines –
10-19-04-02	Certified Data Cleanup	Mr. Al Shaffer	Needs CIT Recommendation	Army – AF – Navy – Marines –

ET

Joint Chemical Biological Defense RD&A Center (all but Medical Biological)

- **Consolidate “Medical” Chemical Defense Research and “Non-Medical” Chemical and Biological Defense research, development and acquisition**
- **To Aberdeen Proving Ground –Edgewood Area**
Realign:
 - Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (Division of Biochemistry & Medicine)
 - Fort Leonard Wood
 - Dugway Proving Ground
 - Natick Soldier Center
 - Navy Clothing Textile Facility
 - NAVMEDRSCHCEN_SILVERSPRING
 - NSWC_Dahlgren_VA
 - NSWC Crane
 - SPAWARSC-Charleston
 - Quantico MB (MARCORSYSCEN)
 - Pax River NB
 - Kirtland AFB
 - Tyndall AFB
 - DTRA (CB Div)
 - SPAWARSYSCEN_San Diego

10/15/2004 10:06:22

Draft Deliberative Document - For Discussion Purposes Only - Do Not Release Under FOIA

1

ET

Joint Medical Biological Defense RD&A Center

- **Consolidate all Medical Biological Defense RD&A to**
- **To Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD**
Realign
 - WRAIR
 - NMRC-Silver Spring

10/15/2004 10:08:22

Draft Deliberative Document - For Discussion Purposes Only - Do Not Release Under FOIA

2

- Consolidate Chemical and Biological Defense T&E
- To Dugway Proving Ground

Realign:

- Kirtland AFB (AFOTEC-Det 1)
- Ft. Hood
- Patrick AFB
- Fort Huachuca
- Eglin AFB
- Aberdeen Proving Ground

- Consolidate Battlespace Environments R,D&A,T&E
- To NRL-Stennis

Realign:

- NRL-Monterrey
- NRL-Washington (all Div reporting BE activity_
- SPAWARSC-San Diego (Atm Prop Br; Mar Nav Div)
- Hanscom AFB (AFRL/VSB)
- ARL-Adelphi
- Redstone Arsenal
- Pax River
- Hill AFB
- Eglin AFB
- Tyndall AFB
- WSMR (ARL)
- Edwards AFB
- Ft. Hood

ET

Joint Training RD&A Center

- **Consolidate Training Systems RD&A**
- **To Orlando**

Realign:

- AFRL-Mesa
- ARI-Ft. Rucker
- SPAWAR_San Diego
- NAVAIR-PMA 205
- WPAFB-ASC/YW
- Hill AFB-ASC/YW
- PM-Joint National Training Center (JNTC) (Suffolk, VA)

10/15/2004 10:08:22

Draft Deliberative Document - For Discussion Purposes Only - Do Not Release Under FOIA

5

ET

Joint Biomedical Research Center

- **Consolidate all biomedical research to seven sites (Ft. Sam Houston, Natick, Panama City- no realign)**
- **To Walter Reed Army Medical Center**
Realign:
 - NMRC - Silver Spring
 - NMRC - Great Lakes
 - WSMR
- **To Fort Detrick**
Realign: Quantico MB (MARCORSYSCEN)
- **To NHRC - San Diego**
Realign:
 - NAVPGSCOL
 - NHRC - Groton
- **To WPAFB (AFRL/HE)**
Realign:
 - Ft. Rucker (AARL)
 - NMRC Pensacola
 - Brooks CB
 - Kirtland AFB

10/15/2004 10:08:22

Draft Deliberative Document - For Discussion Purposes Only - Do Not Release Under FOIA

6



Joint Biomedical D&A Center

- **Consolidate Biomedical D&A.**
- **To Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD**

Realign:

- Ft. Rucker
- USARIEM-Natick
- NMRC
- NHRC
- Quantico MB
- Pax River NB
- Kirtland AFB
- WPAFB
- Brooks CB
- NavDIVU-Panama City
- WSMR
- Tinker AFB
- Keesler AFB
- NAVPGSCOL
- NRL
- TAMC