

dcn: 3975

BRAC 2005
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group (TJCSG)
Meeting Minutes of 1 February 2005

Dr. Segal chaired the meeting. The agenda is enclosed in attachment 1. The list of attendees is enclosed in attachment 2. Read ahead materials for the meeting are enclosed in attachment 3. The primary objective for the meeting was to review the impediments to the COBRA runs for each of the subgroups and approve assumptions or additional actions to ensure timely completion of the scenario analysis. Also, the TJCSG was to review the TJCSG candidate recommendations briefing for TECH-0040 to be presented to the ISG on 4 February 2005. The agenda topics are listed below in the order in which they were covered. The key points, decisions and action items from the meeting are as follows:

TJCSG Candidate Recommendations Briefing Review for the 4 February 2005 ISG Meeting – Mr. Shaffer

Key Points:

- The Medical JCSG is vacating space in Bethesda that would be very suitable for TECH-0040 and would allow the MILCON requirement, which currently exists for the Anacostia option, to be eliminated.
- It was recommended that the beginning of the presentation show why this scenario was looked at to show the overarching strategy behind the scenario.

Decisions:

- Chart #2 will be deleted.
- Chart #3 needs to have the correct number of technical facilities. Also, the corresponding number of FTEs needs to be shown on this chart.
- A backup chart needs to be added to show the definition of a technical facility.
- Chart #4 needs to be modified to make the various boxes larger.
- On Chart #4, the Combined T&E Center box will be deleted as T&E is associated with each of the boxes located in the Combined Mission Center(s) section.
- On Chart #5, change scenario 28 on line number 10 to italics. Also, include all remanded scenarios on this slide in italics.
- Chart #5 remove scenario numbers from the 3rd column.
- Chart #5 delete all scenario numbers except 40 from line number 1.
- On Chart #7, Ft. Belvoir is misspelled. Also, Raleigh should be Durham NC and Army Research Office and other offices are in Arlington not Alexandria.
- On Chart #8, move the third bullet to instead be the first bullet. The TJCSG will request use of the space being vacated in Bethesda by the Medical JCSG. If the Bethesda space is not available for the TJCSG to use, then Anacostia will be the location of choice. An additional slide will be shown to highlight the Bethesda space as the preferred alternative option.

- For Chart #8, the last 2 sub-bullets will be further clarified to show specifically which research is included in these additional recommendations.
- An additional strategy chart will be shown at the beginning of the presentation to explain why this scenario was chosen for analysis to show the overall strategy behind it.

Enabling Technologies Subgroup Update – Dr. Berry

Key Points:

- The subgroup is still awaiting Navy (Stennis) Criteria 8 data for TECH-0020.
- The candidate recommendation package for TECH-0032 should be ready by COB today.

Decisions:

- The action for Adelphi will be eliminated from TECH-0020 because the FTEs involved do not conduct work associated with TECH 0020.

C4ISR Subgroup Update – Mr. Mleziva

Key Points:

- The analysis for TECH-0047 is complete and the candidate recommendation package is in work.
- For TECH-0008/0042 (Maritime Domain), the subgroup is meeting today to develop the draft candidate recommendations package.
- For TECH-0008/0042 (Land Domain), the subgroup will be meeting on 7 February 2005 to develop the draft candidate recommendations.
- For TECH-0008/0042 (Air Domain), the subgroup is currently preparing the draft candidate recommendations.
- For TECH-0008/0042, parts 10 and 11 have been remanded to the Navy.

Decisions:

- The TJCSG will allow the data for TECH-0056 to continue to come in from the field and TECH-056 will be run at a later date for completeness by the C4ISR Subgroup.
- The C4ISR subgroup will submit separate candidate recommendation packages for the three separate domains.

Innovative Systems Subgroup Update – Dr. Schuette

Key Points:

- New SDDs were issued yesterday to the Air Force for TECH-0009 ISG.

Decisions:

- None

Dr. Segal departed the meeting at this time. Mr. Shaffer chaired the meeting from this point on.

Weapons and Armaments Subgroup Update – Dr. Higgins

Key Points:

- An update status was provided by the Weapons and Armaments Subgroup.

Decisions:

- None

ALSS Subgroup Update – Mr. Mathes

Key Points:

- An update status was provided for the ALSS Subgroup.
- TECH-0005, Relocate Rotary Wing Air Platform RDAT&E by: moving Lakehurst, Corona and WPAFB to Patuxent River; and moving Adelphi, Ft. Eustis, Ft. Rucker and Robins AFB to Redstone Arsenal.
- The ALSS Subgroup presented COBRA assumptions for TECH-0005.
- TECH-0006 fixed wing air platform RDAT&E by: moving Lakehurst and Corona to Patuxent River; moving Hill, Tinker, and Robins to WPAFB; and moving T&E functions at WPAFB to China Lake.

Decisions:

- The TJCSG approved COBRA assumptions for TECH-0005 as presented.
- The TJCSG approved COBRA assumptions for TECH-0006 as presented.

Candidate Recommendation Inconsistencies – Dr. Stewart

Time did not permit discussion of this topic.

Education and Training JCSG Scenarios 49 and 50 Assessment – Mr. Ryan

- Time did not permit discussion of this topic.

Today's TJCSG daily teleconference call is cancelled.

February 1, 2005

BRAC FOUO

The next TJCSG Meeting will take place on Thursday, 3 February 2005, from 1400-1600 hrs EST, in the Pentagon, Rm 4E987.

Action Items:

None

Approved: Al Shaffer / FC
Mr. Al Shaffer
Chairman, Capabilities Integration Team

Attachments:

1. Outline -Agenda
2. List of Attendees
3. Read Ahead Materials

TJCSG APPROVED ASSUMPTIONS dated 1 Feb 05:

1. START DATES

- Moves requiring no renovation or new offices space move in 2006
- Moves requiring Office Space move in 2008
- Moves requiring Lab Space move in 2009

2. MILCON

Amount of Space:

- Assume 160 gross SF/person for Office Space (FAC 6100)
- For S&T organizations requiring MILCON, absent a detailed breakout of equipment and facilities, use 150 gross SF/person
- For SCIFS the FAC code is 1404. For purposes of housing people in SCIFS assume 1000 SF/person. Deduct that person from the other portion of the building.

Additional Network/IT costs:

- COBRA allows \$1200/person for a single network. Use \$1200/person for an additional network (S, ST)

Additional Savings:

- If the leased space has not had an AT/FP upgrade, HAS is assuming a one-time savings of \$28.28/gross SF. This means that if we move out of a leased space in DC area that has not been upgraded we can take that as a savings.

Personnel Reductions

- Subgroups can use a 15% reduction in admin/technical staff
- We have 3 possible types of organizations at the receiving site:
 - Consolidated
 - Joint
 - Co-located

Subgroups can use their best judgment on the personnel reductions possible in all three, but it would seem that Consolidated has the best opportunities for reductions in P&T, with Joint slightly less and Co-located the least potential for reduction.

It should be noted, ALSS is assuming that both TECH-0005 part I and TECH-0006 part I move forward and are implemented. Should either be cancelled the assumptions feeding the COBRA model of the remaining scenario would be invalid.

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 1 –

Identify Lakehurst as realigned to reflect cantonment of Aircraft Launch and Recovery Equipment.

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their response to TECH-0006 data call indicated 11.7 supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their response states that they only do OAR T&E.

Remove AIRTEVRON Nine from consideration. Their response, though their narrative states they do some air platform OT work, more closely aligns with the Naval Air Warfare Center (Weapons Division) workload.

Remove Redstone Arsenal from consideration. They reported that they do no fixed wing R, D&A or T&E work.

Remove China Lake from consideration. They continue to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

MILCON requirements – intended to house only Lakehurst (GSE) and Corona (CAL LAB) functions:

Aircraft RDT&E Facility 98,000 SF

Sidewalks/walkways 2,000 SY

Water distribution line, fire protection 1,500 LF

Water distribution line, potable 1,500 LF

Heat Gas distribution line 1,500 LF

Sewer and Industrial Waste Line 1,500 LF

Electrical Power Distribution Line 1,500 LF

Communication Lines 1 mile

Vehicle Parking, Surfaced 13,500 SY

670 people in move from Lakehurst. 60% assigned against TECH-0006 [402] and 40% against TECH-0005 [268]. Further reduced by 15% due to "mandated" efficiencies, 342 and 228 respectively.

Use "Aircraft RDT&E Facility" category to calculate SF cost.

250 tons of equipment (EMJ and 3014) to be moved (use same 60/40 split between TECH-0006 [150 tons] and TECH-0005 [100 tons]).

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 2 –

ALCs: All identified resources are targeted to move as part of the scenario. No consolidation was assumed since the FTEs identified are directly associated D&A of specific platforms. No administrative support was included. No equipment was identified for realignment.

Remove Hanscom from consideration. The work Hanscom reported under Air Platform D&A is directly associated with Sensor and Communication/Computer integration into Commercially developed and certified aircraft. In addition, they have an integration/development Center that would be prohibitively expensive to replicate at WPAFB.

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 3 –

Remove 53WG (Eglin) from consideration. Their T&E is integrated with the other 53rd operations at Eglin and they rely on the over-water range for specific weapon testing as part of integration on Air Platforms. In addition they maintain/support an Electronics Warfare Integration Lab based at Eglin which is not targeted for re-alignment.

Remove AFOTEC (Kirtland) from consideration. Kirtland constitutes AFOTEC's Hdqtrs and is principally administrative in function. The small numbers of Air Platform FTEs identified are detailed to test site detachments to engage in OT testing & analysis and use Kirtland as the base of operations for completing the reports and briefings required as part of their mission/charter. The Air Platform component constitutes less than 10% of the AFOTEC's resources assigned at Kirtland.

Remove Tucson ANG from consideration per TJCSG direction during their Thursday, 27 Jan 05 session.

WPAFB use FTE Numbers provided for LFT&E elements. Assumes all organic

resources move with no consolidation. Yet, a recurring savings was manually entered to account for a reduction of the contractor support requirement at the gaining installation vice those currently assigned to support the WPAFB test complex. The amount entered represents a 50% reduction in the cost of the contractor support between the two installations. An assumption was made in lieu of WPAFB data that 50 tons of equipment would accommodate the move. WPAFB has been tasked with providing equipment and facility needs, but have been less than forthcoming to date.

TECH-0005 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 1 –

Identify Lakehurst as realigned to reflect cantonment of Aircraft Launch and Recovery Equipment.

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their response to TECH-0006 data call indicated 26.1 supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their response states that they only do OAR T&E.

Remove China Lake from consideration. They continue to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

MILCON requirements – intended to house only Lakehurst (GSE) and Corona (CAL LAB) functions. WPAFB elements contain no MILCON requirements:

Aircraft RDT&E Facility 66,000 SF

Sidewalks/walkways 1,300 SY

Water distribution line, fire protection 1,500 LF

Water distribution line, potable 1,500 LF

Heat Gas distribution line 1,500 LF

Sewer and Industrial Waste Line 1,500 LF

Electrical Power Distribution Line 1,500 LF

Communication Lines 1 mile

Vehicle Parking, Surfaced 8,900 SY

670 people in move from Lakehurst. 60% assigned against TECH-0006 [402] and **40% against TECH-0005 [268]. Further reduced by 15% due to "mandated" efficiencies, 342 and 228 respectively.**

Use "Aircraft RDT&E Facility" category to calculate SF cost.

250 tons of equipment (EMJ and 3014) to be moved (use same 60/40 split between TECH-0006 [150 tons] and TECH-0005 [100 tons]).

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

TECH-0005 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 2 –

Remove Ft. Rucker Aero Medical facility from consideration. This activity is more of a human systems function and is better placed at Ft. Rucker where they can directly interface with flight crews, especially those in the middle of the training environment.

Apply a 10% personnel savings that would be achieved via organizational consolidation of ATTC and RTTC civilian and military personnel from ATTC FY03 authorizations from the Army ASIP database.