

BRAC 2005
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group (TJCSG)
Meeting Minutes of 22 March 2005

Mr. Shaffer chaired the meeting. The agenda is enclosed in attachment 1. The list of attendees is enclosed in attachment 2. Read ahead materials for the meeting are enclosed in attachment 3. The primary objective for the meeting was to review the Candidate Recommendation (CR) status, the Lakehurst Issue resolution status, TECH-0014 issue resolution status, and the "Do-Outs" status of the ISG directed scenarios. The agenda topics are listed below in the order in which they were covered. The key points, decisions and action items from the meeting are as follows:

Candidate Recommendation Review (60, 5, 6, 18D, 42A, & 42C) - Subgroup Leads

Key Points:

- Dr. Schuette presented the COBRA results from TECH-0060, Relocate NSWC Corona to March AFB.
 - One Time Cost = \$93.6M
 - NPV = -\$85.5M, 6 yr payoff period
 - Assumptions: 160 sq ft/FTE. COBRA computed MILCON costs
- TECH-0005, 0006, 0018 and 0042 are all less expensive than when Corona was included in these scenarios (\$15M - \$45M savings)

Decisions:

- None

Lakehurst Issue Resolution – Mr. Shaffer

Key Points:

- The ALSS Subgroup is working TECH-0005 and 0006, which involve Lakehurst.

Decisions:

- The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA for TECH-0005 and 0006 to move all of Lakehurst to PAX River. This will exclude the Corona portion.
- The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA for TECH-0005 and 0006 to exclude moving Lakehurst to PAX River. This will also exclude the Corona portion.
- The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA to move will move all of Lakehurst except for the catapult and restraining gear workload. This will also exclude the Corona portion.

IEC Feedback – Mr. Shaffer**Key Points:**

- The presumption is that a CR is acceptable if there are no Service issues.

Decisions:

- None

Indian Head Issue Resolution – Dr. Higgins

- Data has been received.
- The HS&A JCSG is still awaiting MDA data.

Decisions:

- The Weapons and Armaments Subgroup will present the assumptions to the TJCSG tomorrow, 23 March 2005.

TECH-0014 Issue Resolution – Mr. Shaffer**Key Points:**

- DoD is looking for potential closures.
- The TJCSG previously deliberated and unanimously agreed to inactivate TECH-0014 based on Military Judgment.
- The ISG directed the TJCSG to complete the analysis for TECH-0014 to enable the closure of Los Angeles AFB, which reactivated TECH-0014.
- The ALSS Subgroup is assessing the Military Value Criteria 1-4.
- The TJCSG needs to deliberate on whether to proceed with TECH-0014 as a candidate recommendation.
- The ALSS Subgroup has performed two separate COBRA runs, neither of which were cost effective.
 1. The 1st COBRA run (without associated closure) included the cost of moving the FFRDC to Peterson AFB.
 2. The 2nd COBRA run excluded the relocation of the FFRDC but included a \$200M cost associated with re-establishing the technical workforce.

Decisions:

- The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA to exclude the relocation of the FFRDC and the \$200M cost for re-establishing the technical workforce. This COBRA run will be compared to the two previous COBRA runs.
- The ALSS Subgroup will provide to the TJCSG the Military Value rationale/justification for moving the mission at Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB.

- The ALSS Subgroup will provide to the TJCSG the risk assessment of the National Security Space Program associated with moving the mission at Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB.
- The ALSS will provide the three above products to the TJCSG for review one day before the TJCSG deliberates on Tech-14.

Other Information:

- The TJCSG will reconvene with the Red Team today, 22 March 2005, in Rm 3E808, from 1430-1630 hrs EST.
- Tonight's, 22 March 2005, TJCSG Teleconference Call is cancelled.
- The TJCSG will meet tomorrow, Wednesday, 23 March 2005, in Crystal City, PT-1, Rm 4600 from 1300-1500 hrs EST.

Action Items:

1. The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA for TECH-0005 and 0006 to move all of Lakehurst to PAX River. This will exclude the Corona portion. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
2. The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA for TECH-0005 and 0006 to exclude moving Lakehurst to PAX River. This will also exclude the Corona portion. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
3. The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA to move will move all of Lakehurst except for the catapult and restraining gear workload. This will also exclude the Corona portion. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
4. The ALSS Subgroup will run COBRA to exclude the relocation of the FFRDC and the \$200M cost for re-establishing the technical workforce. This COBRA run will be compared to the two previous COBRA runs. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
5. The ALSS Subgroup will provide to the TJCSG the Military Value rationale/justification for moving the mission at Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
6. The ALSS Subgroup will provide to the TJCSG the risk assessment of the National Security Space Program associated with moving the mission at Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB. This will be due on 23 March 2005.
7. The TJCSG will review the COBRA results for TECH-0014, the Military Value rationale/justification for moving the mission form Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB, as well as the risk assessment of the National Security Space Program associated with moving the mission at Los Angeles AFB to Peterson AFB. The ALSS Subgroup will provide these to the TJCSG Principals on 23 March 2005. The TJCSG will review these at the 24 March 2005 TJCSG Meeting.

March 22, 2005

DCN: 11485

BRAC FOUO

Approved: 

Mr. Al Shaffer
Executive Director
Technical Joint Cross Service Group

Attachments:

1. Outline -Agenda
2. List of Attendees
3. Read Ahead Materials

Attachment 2
Technical JCSG Meeting
March 22, 2005
Attendees

Members:

Mr. Al Shaffer, OSD Alternate for Dr. Ron Sega, Chairman
Dr. Dan Stewart, Air Force Alternate for Mr. Blaise Durante, Air Force
Mr. Brian Simmons, Army
Dr. Barry Dillon, Marines
RADM Jay Cohen Navy
Mr. Jay Erb, JCS

Other:

Mr. George Ryan, Navy CIT Rep
Dr. Bob Rohde, Army CIT Rep
COL Walt Hamm, Marines CIT Rep
BG Fred Castle, OSD
Mr. Gary Strack, OSD
Mr. Andy Porth, OSD BRAC
Ms. Marie Felix, OSD
Mr. Roger Florence, DoD IG
COL Pete DeSalva, Marines
Mr. Matt Mleziva, C4ISR Subgroup Lead
Dr. Larry Schuette, Innovative Systems Subgroup Lead
Dr. Jim Short, OSD
Mr. Thom Mathes, ALSS Subgroup Lead (Via Telephone)
Mr. Jerry Schiefer, OSD BRAC
Mr. Alex Yellin, OSD BRAC
COL Bob Buckstad, OSD
Dr. Karen Higgins, Weapons and Armaments Subgroup Lead

**22 Mar 05 TJCSCG
AGENDA**

**Pentagon, Rm 4E987
1300-1400 hrs EST**

- Candidate Recommendation Review (60, 5, 6, 18D, 42A, & 42C) - Subgroup Leads
- Lakehurst Issue Resolution – Mr. Shaffer
- Scenario #14 Issue Resolution – Mr. Shaffer
- “Do Out” Status - COL DeSalva

DCN: 11485

Tech 0060 NSWC Corona

InSG

Presented by Larry Schuette
3/22/05

BRAC FOUO, NOT
RELEASABLE UNDER FOIA

Relocate NSWC Corona to March ARB

DCN: 11485

- Entered into ISG Tracker
- Data Call back from Navy and AF
 - Reduced from 460KSF to 326KSF (certified by Navy)
 - 161KSF of office space (1007 personnel)
 - 165K SF High, Medium and Low Tech Lab Space
- COBRA results:
 - One time cost: 93.6M, NPV: -85.5M, 6 year payoff
 - Assumptions: 160SF per FTE, COBRA computed Milcon costs
- Scenario Package Status
 - Quad, CR written (Rationale is similar to that used in Tech 40)
 - Criteria 6 & 7 done
 - Criteria 8 issued to Navy and AF (“No issues”)
 - Working on remainder of package
- Impacts TECH 005, 006, 18, 42
 - Less expensive than Corona as part of other TJCSG scenarios (\$15-\$45M savings)
 - Navy BRAC office provided Corona Milcon approved by gaining locations (allows for removal from above scenarios)

BRAC FOUO, NOT
RELEASABLE UNDER FOIA

ALSS COBRA BASELINE ASSUMPTIONS dated 22 Mar 05

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 1 –

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their response to TECH-0006 data call indicated 11.7 supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their response states that they only do OAR T&E.

Remove AIRTEVRON Nine from consideration. Their response, though their narrative states they do some air platform OT work, more closely aligns with the Naval Air Warfare Center (Weapons Division) workload.

Remove Redstone Arsenal from consideration. They reported that they do no fixed wing R, D&A or T&E work.

Remove China Lake from consideration. They continue to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 2 –

ALCs: All identified resources are targeted to move as part of the scenario. No consolidation was assumed since the FTEs identified are directly associated D&A of specific platforms. No administrative support was included. No equipment was identified for realignment.

Remove Hanscom from consideration. The work Hanscom reported under Air Platform D&A is directly associated with Sensor and Communication/Computer integration into Commercially developed and certified aircraft. In addition, they have an integration/development Center that would be prohibitively expensive to replicate at WPAFB.

TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 3 –

Remove 53WG (Eglin) from consideration. Their T&E is integrated with the other 53rd operations at Eglin and they rely on the over-water range for specific weapon testing as part of integration on Air Platforms. In addition they maintain/support an Electronics Warfare Integration Lab based at Eglin which is not targeted for re-alignment.

Remove AFOTEC (Kirtland) from consideration. Kirtland constitutes AFOTEC's Hdqtrs and is principally administrative in function. The small numbers of Air Platform FTEs identified are detailed to test site detachments to engage in OT testing & analysis and use Kirtland as the base of operations for completing the reports and briefings required as part of their mission/charter. The Air Platform component constitutes less than 10% of the AFOTEC's resources assigned at Kirtland.

Remove Tucson ANG from consideration per TJCSG direction during their Thursday, 27 Jan 05 session.

TECH-0005 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 1 –

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their response to TECH-0006 data call indicated 26.1 supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their response states that they only do OAR T&E.

Remove China Lake from consideration. They continue to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

TECH-0005 ASSUMPTIONS for Part 2 –

Remove Ft. Rucker Aero Medical facility from consideration. This activity is more of a human systems function and is better placed at Ft. Rucker where they can directly interface with flight crews, especially those in the middle of the training environment.

Apply a 10% personnel savings that would be achieved via organizational consolidation of ATTC and RTTC civilian and military personnel from ATTC FY03 authorizations from the Army ASIP database.