

**BRAC 2005**  
**Technical Joint Cross-Service Group (TJCSG)**  
**Teleconference Meeting Minutes of 23 March 2005**

Mr. Shaffer chaired the meeting. The agenda is enclosed in attachment 1. The list of attendees is enclosed in attachment 2. The primary objective for the meeting was to review the Candidate Recommendation (CR) status, the De-Confliction status, the TECH-0014 COBRA results, and the "Do-Outs" status of the ISG directed scenarios. The agenda topics are listed below in the order in which they were covered. The key points, decisions and action items from the meeting are as follows:

Candidate Recommendation Status – Mr. Shaffer

**Key Points:**

- The TJCSG will present CRs TECH-0035R and TECH-0040R at tomorrow's, 24 March 2005, ISG Meeting.
- The TJCSG is hoping to be prepared to present TECH-0060 as well as the associated revisions to TECH-0042 and 0018 and possibly, 0005 and 0006, at the 1 April 2005 TJCSG Meeting. The readiness of TECH-0005 and 0006 will depend on the resolution of the Lakehurst issue.

**Decisions:**

- None

De-Confliction Status – Dr. Short

**Key Points:**

- TECH-0060 is missing the Military and Capacity chart but it should be posted on the portal today.
- TECH-0042 should be completed by COB today, 23 March 2005.
- TECH-0005 and 0006 should be ready by Friday, 25 March 2005. The TJCSG should be able to deliberate on these at tomorrow's, 24 March 2005, TJCSG Meeting.
- TECH-0059 will be ready for TJCSG deliberation at tomorrow's, 24 March 2005, TJCSG Meeting.
- TECH-0014 will be ready for TJCSG deliberation at tomorrow's, 24 March 2005, TJCSG Meeting.

**Decisions:**

- Dr. Rohde will prepare a draft message from the TJCSG to the ISG regarding the potential closure of Ft. Huachuca. The TJCSG will explain that if Ft. Huachuca is

closed, the TJCSG will need to run a separate scenario for moving the technical functions out of Ft. Huachuca.

TECH-0014 COBRA Results – Mr. Mathes

**Key Points:**

- The COBRA runs were not posted prior to the meeting so this topic will be deferred until the Thursday, 24 March 2005, TJCSG Meeting.

**Decisions:**

- None

TECH-0005 and 0006 Status – Mr. Mathes

**Key Points:**

- TECH-0005 and 0006 will be discussed at tomorrow's, 24 March 2005, TJCSG Meeting.

**Decisions:**

- None

TECH-0018D Status – Dr. Higgins

**Key Points:**

- TECH-0018D was not discussed.

**Decisions:**

- None

Open ISG Actions – Subgroup Leads

**Key Points:**

- These actions were discussed above.

**Decisions:**

- None

TECH-0042A Status – Mr. Mleziva

**Key Points:**

- Each

**Decisions:**

- None

TECH-0009A – Dr. Schuette

**Key Points:**

- Each

**Decisions:**

- None

**Other Information:**

- The IG is auditing the TJCSG Military Value Analysis and is finding it very hard to audit due to the complexity and lack of documentation. The TJCSG is working with the IG to improve the documentation.
- The TJCSG will reconvene with the Red Team today, 22 March 2005, in Rm 3E808, from 1430-1630 hrs EST.
- Tonight's, 22 March 2005, TJCSG Teleconference Call is cancelled.
- The TJCSG will meet tomorrow, Wednesday, 23 March 2005, in Crystal City, PT-1, Rm 4600 from 1300-1500 hrs EST.

**Action Items:**

1. Dr. Rohde will prepare a draft message by COB 24 March 2005, from the TJCSG to the ISG regarding the potential closure of Ft. Huachuca. The TJCSG will explain that if Ft. Huachuca is closed, the TJCSG will need to run a separate scenario for moving the technical functions out of Ft. Huachuca.

Approved:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Mr. Al Shaffer  
Executive Director  
Technical Joint Cross Service Group

Attachments:

1. Outline -Agenda
2. List of Attendees

**Attachment 2**  
**Technical JCSG Meeting**  
**March 23, 2005**  
**Attendees**

**Members:**

Mr. Al Shaffer, OSD Alternate for Dr. Ron Segal, Chairman  
Dr. Dan Stewart, Air Force Alternate for Mr. Blaise Durante, Air Force (Via Telephone)  
Mr. Brian Simmons, Army  
COL Walt Hamm, Marines Alternate for Dr. Barry Dillon, Marines  
Mr. George Ryan, Navy Alternate for RADM Jay Cohen Navy

**Other:**

Dr. Bob Rohde, Army CIT Rep  
Mr. Gary Strack, OSD  
Mr. Andy Porth, OSD BRAC  
Ms. Marie Felix, OSD  
Mr. Roger Florence, DoD IG  
COL Pete DeSalva, Marines  
Mr. Matt Mleziva, C4ISR Subgroup Lead  
Dr. Larry Schuette, Innovative Systems Subgroup Lead  
Mr. Thom Mathes, ALSS Subgroup Lead  
COL Bob Buckstad, OSD

# **23 Mar 05 TJCSG Teleconference Agenda**

**Pentagon, Rm 3D1089  
1300-1500 hrs EST**

- **Candidate Recommendation Status – Dr. Short**
- **De-Confliction Status – Dr. Short**
- **Tech-0014 COBRA Results – Mr. Mathes**
  - **Risk Assessment**
  - **Mil Val Rationale**
- **Tech-0005 & 0006 Status – Mr. Mathes**
- **Tech-0018D Status - Dr Higgins**
- **All open ISG actions - Subgroups Ldrs verbal report, COL Desalva (written report)**
- **TECH-0042A Status – Mr. Mleziva**
- **TECH-0009A Status – Dr. Schuette**

DCN:11486

1. The PAX data response to TECH-0005 and TECH-0006 considered the move of Point Mugu, Corona and Lakehurst. To make the ISG analysis it is necessary to back the Point Mugu and Corona data out. ALSS issued SDDs to make this happen. Yesterday the Navy BRAC Office had trouble with DONBITS/NMCI yesterday and weren't able to issue SDD/DDC on TECH-0005 and TECH-0006 to PAX to:
  - to pull out Point Mugu (NAVBASE Ventura Co) from their requirements consideration in TECH-0006. Point Mugu reported no FTEs, equipment, etc. under TECH-0005.
  - to pull out Corona from their requirements consideration in TECH-0005 & TECH-0006

The Navy BRAC office has issued the SDD/DDCs and anticipates the receipt of data Friday afternoon, but more realistically Monday morning.

2. COBRA runs completed

TECH-0005: 3 (below)

Payback

- One-time cost: \$71,913K
- Net implementation cost: \$58,133K
- Annual recurring savings: \$ 5,632K
- Payback time: 17 yrs
- NPV (savings): \$2,905K

Note: The Army 25 Feb 05 scenario data response was used and contained a 15% consolidation reduction of government personnel (civilian & military) if consolidating with like functions; a 15% consolidation reduction of contractor personnel if consolidating with like functions. This reduction was not applied to contractors from Rucker (ATTC) to Redstone (RTTC) since the contractors are primarily aircraft maintainers and this function does not exist currently at Redstone. A proportional 15% reduction in building space was also taken in conjunction with the 15% personnel reductions.

## **COBRA ASSUMPTIONS**

### **TECH-0005**

**We need to develop and get on line our assumptions for the following COBRA runs:**

1. **Part of Lakehurst moving to PAX (rotary minus ALRE), Corona removed, Ft. Eustis to Redstone, Ft. Rucker to Redstone, Robins to Redstone**
2. **All of Lakehurst moving to PAX, Corona removed, Ft. Eustis to Redstone, Ft. Rucker to Redstone, Robins to Redstone**

3. None of Lakehurst moving to PAX, Corona removed, Ft. Eustis to Redstone, Ft. Rucker to Redstone, Robins to Redstone

## **TECH-0006**

We need to develop and get on line our assumptions for the following COBRA runs

1. Part of Lakehurst moving to PAX (fixed wing minus ALRE), Corona removed, WPAFB to PAX, PAX to WPAFB, Tinker to WPAFB, Robins to WPAFB, Hill to WPAFB, WPAFB to China Lake
2. All of Lakehurst moving to PAX, Corona removed, WPAFB to PAX, PAX to WPAFB, Tinker to WPAFB, Robins to WPAFB, Hill to WPAFB, WPAFB to China Lake
3. None of Lakehurst moving to PAX, Corona removed, WPAFB to PAX, PAX to WPAFB, Tinker to WPAFB, Robins to WPAFB, Hill to WPAFB, WPAFB to China Lake

## **TECH-0005 ASSUMPTIONS**

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their response to TECH-0006 data call indicated 26.1 supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0005 scenario data call states that they only do T&E OAR

Remove China Lake from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0005 scenario data call continues to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

Remove Ft. Rucker Aero Medical facility from consideration. This activity is more of a human systems function and is better placed at Ft. Rucker where they can directly interface with flight crews, especially those in the middle of the training environment. Their certified data has rebinned them under the BioMed DTAP.

Remove Adelphi from consideration. Adelphi reported rotary wing air platform research but these efforts were not included because the work is highly integrated with ground vehicle research and therefore is more suited to relocation to the Aberdeen Proving Ground under the Defense Research Laboratory scenario.

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

Apply a 15 % personnel savings across the board.

## **TECH-0006 ASSUMPTIONS**

Remove NRL from consideration. NRL reported 37.8 FTEs under air platforms. Their certified response to TECH-0006 scenario data call indicated 11.7

supported fixed wing air platforms. Under the TJCSG rule of 30 they should be excluded from consideration.

Remove Pt. Mugu from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0006 scenario data call states that they only do T&E OAR.

Remove AIRTEVRON Nine from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0006 scenario data call, though their narrative states they do some air platform OT work, more closely aligns with the Naval Air Warfare Center (Weapons Division) workload.

Remove Redstone Arsenal from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0006 scenario data call they reported that they do no fixed wing R, D&A or T&E work.

Remove China Lake from consideration. Their certified response to TECH-0006 scenario data call continues to insist that they do no air platform R, D&A or T&E work.

Remove Hanscom from consideration. The work Hanscom reported under Air Platform D&A is directly associated with Sensor and Communication/Computer integration into commercially developed and certified aircraft. In addition, they have an integration/development Center that would be prohibitively expensive to replicate at WPAFB.

Remove AFOTEC (Kirtland) from consideration. Kirtland constitutes AFOTEC's Hdqtrs and is principally administrative in function. The small numbers of Air Platform FTEs identified are detailed to test site detachments to engage in OT testing & analysis and use Kirtland as the base of operations for completing the reports and briefings required as part of their mission/charter. The Air Platform component constitutes less than 10% of the AFOTEC's resources assigned at Kirtland.

Remove 53WG (Eglin) from consideration. Their T&E is integrated with the other 53rd operations at Eglin and they rely on the over-water range for specific weapon testing as part of integration on Air Platforms. In addition they maintain/support an Electronics Warfare Integration Lab based at Eglin which is not targeted for re-alignment.

Remove Tucson ANG from consideration per TJCSG direction during their Thursday, 27 Jan 05 session because T&E is not a major mission for the ANG. This work is performed in conjunction with their training activities using ANG planes and people. Since the T&E work there totally uses ANG assets, and since the ANG is not moving, there are no assets(ie, people, planes, equipment, etc) to move with the workload.

Lakehurst move to PAX to occur in 2010 because of the heavy construction.

Apply a 15 % personnel savings across the board, except the ALC moves to Wright-Patterson where 15% is applied to contractors only.

ALCs: All identified resources are targeted to move as part of the scenario. No consolidation was assumed since the FTEs identified are directly associated D&A of specific platforms. No administrative support was included. No equipment was identified for realignment.