

BRAC 2005
Technical Joint Cross-Service Group (TJCSG)
Daily Conference Call
Meeting Minutes of 7 April 2005

Dr. Sega chaired the meeting. The agenda is enclosed in attachment 1. The list of attendees is enclosed in attachment 2. Read ahead materials for the meeting are enclosed in attachment 3. The primary objective for the meeting was to provide feedback from the 6 April 2005 IEC Meeting and to prepare for the Friday, 8 April 2005 ISG Meeting. The agenda topics are listed below in the order in which they were covered. The key points, decisions and action items from the meeting are as follows:

IEC Feedback – Mr. Shaffer

Key Points:

- The IEC met last night. DARPA was challenged with identifying a better location than Anacostia for TECH-0040R.

8 April 2005 ISG Meeting Prep – Mr. Shaffer

Key Points:

- Lakehurst and Indian Head would be the only two topics teed up for tomorrow's, 8 April 2005, TJCSG Meeting.
- The TJCSG Principals should be in agreement with TECH-0014 briefing slides prior to taking it forward to the ISG.
- Dr. Sega indicated he would also like for the TJCSG to take a look at other possible options that have been looked at by others.
- The Navy is not moving the Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Center out of Monterey.

Decisions:

- The TJCSG will meet again on Wednesday, 13 April 2005, to discuss TECH-0014 in preparation for the ISG on Friday, 15 April 2005.
- The ALSS Subgroup was tasked to report back to the TJCSG at tomorrow night's, 8 April 2005, telecon on how many SMC technical personnel are located at both Los Angeles and Peterson.
- The TJCSG will no longer move workload out of Monterey to Stennis due to the Navy's decision to keep Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Center in Monterey. TECH-0020 will be therefore, be inactivated. However, TECH-0009AR will capture the WSMR piece of TECH-0020.

Configuration Control – Mr. Shaffer

Decisions:

- All CR documents will be date stamped to ensure configuration control.
- DoD IG will be certifying the TJCSG documentation by 22 April 2005. Therefore, the TJCSG will not make any additional COBRA runs.

Other Information:

- The TJCSG will on Tuesday, 12 April 2005, from 1100-1300 hrs EDT, in Crystal City, PT-1, Rm 4600. Also, an additional TJCSG Meeting is scheduled, as mentioned above, for Wednesday, 13 April 05. Time and location are TBD.

Action Items:

1. The ALSS Subgroup will report back to the TJCSG at tomorrow night's, 8 April 2005, telecon on how many SMC technical personnel are located at both Los Angeles and Peterson.
2. The Innovative Systems Subgroup will capture the WSMR piece of TECH-0020 in TECH-0009AR.

Approved: _____



Mr. Al Shaffer
Executive Director
Technical Joint Cross Service Group

Attachments:

1. Outline -Agenda
2. List of Attendees
3. Read Ahead Materials

TJCSG Daily Teleconference Call

Agenda

1700-1730 hrs EST

- **Scenario Data Call Status**
- **Criteria 8 Status**
- **Subgroup Scenario Data Assumptions**

DCN: 11495

Attachment 2
Technical JCSG Meeting
April 7, 2005
Attendees

Members:

Dr. Ron Segal, Chairman
Dr. Dan Stewart, Air Force Alternate for Mr. Blaise Durante, Air Force
Mr. Brian Simmons, Army
Mr. George Ryan, Navy Alternate for RADM Jay Cohen, Navy
COL Walt Hamm, Marines Alternate for Mr. Barry Dillon, Marines

Other:

Mr. Al Shaffer, CIT Chairman
Dr. Bob Rohde, Army CIT Rep
Mr. Gary Strack, OSD
COL Pete DeSalva, Marines
Dr. Karen Higgins, Weapons and Armaments Subgroup Lead
Dr. Larry Schuette, Innovative Systems Subgroup Lead
COL Bob Buckstad, OSD
Mr. Andy Porth, OSD BRAC
Mr. Pete Potochney, OSD BRAC
Mr. Thom Mathes, ALSS Subgroup Lead
Mr. Roger Florence, DoD IG
COL Steve Evans, Marines
Mr. Steve Kratzmeier, Army
Mr. Matt Mleziva, C4ISR Subgroup Lead
Mr. Al Goldstayn, Air Force CIT Rep



Technical Joint Cross Service Group Briefing to The Infrastructure Steering Group

April 8, 2005

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



Background

BRAC FOUO

- 9 Mar 05 ISG Chair memo tasked JCSG's to analyze 7 scenarios affecting the TJCSG:
 - Completed actions on Natick, Corona and Pt. Mugu
 - Completed analysis on:
 - Lakehurst: IND and TECH analyze relocation of all functions to enable closure
 - Indian Head: IND and TECH analyze relocation of all functions to enable closure
 - Los Angeles AFS: TECH to complete analysis of TECH-0014, enabling closure
 - TJCSG is a follower on realigning Crane: Ind JCSG to analyze relocation of remaining Maintenance functions to enable closure (Affects TECH-0018B, 0032 and 0042A).

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

2DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



NSWC Indian Head

- Issue: ISG directed TJCSG to analyze relocation of all functions to enable closure
- IND 161 identifies IND movement [4 people]
- TECH retained Indian Head as additional site for energetic materials Research, Development & Acquisition, preserving capacity
- Indian Head functions support TECH Transformational Framework
- Navy estimates from receiver and donor costs for the varied capabilities at Indian Head vary, and are still unstable; Navy working issues
- TJCSG used a high and low estimate to understand the functional COBRA cost
 - Both Estimates Do Not Support realignment from IH
 - TECH Deliberations on MIL VAL judgment support retention of TECH functions at IH
- Navy Closure COBRA being worked

	High	Low
One Time Cost	\$1,074 M	\$528 M
Net Implementation Cost	\$1.014 M	\$441 M
Annual Recurring Cost	-\$19.5 M	-\$24.4 M
NPV (Cost)	\$773 M	\$183 M
Payback Time	100+ years	34 years

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

Draft Deliberative Doc- For
TJCSG recommends not realigning Indian Head TECH functions OR
DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



NAES Lakehurst

- Issue: IND & TECH analyze relocation of all functions to enable closure;
- TECH 005 and 006 realigned fixed and rotary framework to PAX
 - Lakehurst has critical technical function: Technical development and support of aircraft carrier catapults and traps (cats & traps)
 - During deliberation, TECH recommend cantoning cats & traps due to estimated cost and fragility of relocation
- Further analysis determined:
 - TECH 005 realignment of rotary wing function still valid
 - TECH 006 realignment of fixed wing function without cats and traps makes less sense
 - Cost of moving cats and traps drives lowest estimated payback of closure to 59+ years
- IND also looked at realignment to JAX—cost too high to continue

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

TJCSG recommends not proceeding with the relocation of all functions at Lakehurst based on cost and technical justification



DCN: 11495
Los Angeles AFB

BRAC FOUO

- Issue: TECH to complete analysis of TECH-0014, enabling closure
 - Scenario: Realign Los Angeles AFB Space Development and Acquisition (D&A) from Los Angeles AFB, CA to Peterson AFB, CO
 - Relocate Space D&A from single function base to location with larger pool of government technical operators
- TECH deliberated (Jan 05) to make TECH-0014 scenario “inactive” based on Military Value (MV) justification
 - TJCSG construct has LAAFB quantitative mil value much higher than any other site for Space Platform development & acquisition
 - TJCSG Transformational Framework Consolidates RDAT&E Functions; Tech-14 deviates by Collocating with the Operator”
 - Air Force raised concern about risk to National Security Space Programs

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

5DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



Los Angeles AFB

BRAC FOUO

- Revisited MV justification in response to 9 Mar 05 ISG memo
 - No consensus on whether or not relocation of Los Angeles is transformational
- Complicating Factor:
 - AF Business Model Makes Federally Funded Research and Development Personnel vital to Space Acquisition
 - Question: Include FFRDC costs in move?

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

6DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



Los Angeles AFB (Continued)

- TJCSG generated 3 costing models; Air Force ran closure COBRA
 - Option A: (High) Using Air Force provided data (Move all FFRDC (Aerospace Corp))
 - Option B: (Med) No FFRDC move; insert \$200M risk mitigation wedge
 - Option C: (Low) No FFRDC move, no risk mitigation

Combined TECH 014 / AF013	Option A	Option B	Option C
One Time Cost	\$1,089M	\$499M	\$299M
Net Implementation Cost	\$932M	\$365M	\$165M
Annual Recurring Savings	\$50M	\$43M	\$43M
NPV (Cost)	\$(560M)	\$46M	\$154M
Payback Time	40 Years	20 Years	8 years

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

TJCSG Chair Endorses CR Completion (Option C)

7DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



BACK-UP

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

8DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



NSWC Crane

- Issue: NSWC Crane has industrial and technical function; ISG directed both groups to look at vacating Crane
- Ind JCSG to analyze relocation of remaining Maintenance functions to enable closure
- TECH-0042D and IND 127 both have ~ 100 year payback
- No potential for fenceline closure – Army staying

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

TJCSG recommends not closing Crane

1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



Soldier System Center Natick

- Need evaluation of a combined TJCSG and S&S JCSG closure recommendation
- TECH-0045: Establish Integrated RDAT&E Center for Army Soldier and Chemical Biological (CB) Defense enables the closure of Soldier Systems Center (SSC) Natick
- TJCSG has fully optimized the opportunity for closure or realignment of SSC Natick

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

10DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



NSWC Corona

- TJCSG analyze DoN option: DoN to analyze closure
- TJCSG Response:
 - TJCSG analyzed Navy option to keep Corona technical workload together rather than moving it to 4 separate locations
 - All TJCSG actions to remove Corona technical workload were removed from TECH-0005, 0006, 0018 and 0042
 - TJCSG submitted TECH-0060 to move all technical workload at Corona to March AFB
 - ISG rejected TECH-0060 on 1 April 2005
 - TJCSG's removal of Corona technical workload from its CRs fully optimizes its ability to allow the Navy to analyze the closure of Corona while enabling the technical workload at Corona to remain together in any subsequent alignment

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

11DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA



NAS Pt. Mugu

BRAC FOUO

- DoN to work with Ind JCSG and TJCSG to analyze closure
- TECH-0054 removes all technical workload from Pt. Mugu
- TJCSG has fully optimized the opportunity for closure or realignment of NAS Pt. Mugu

(for TELCON, 7 Apr)

12DRAFT 3 06 April 1330L

DRAFT DELIBERATIVE DOCUMENT—FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY—DO NOT RELEASE UNDER FOIA