



ACQUISITION,  
TECHNOLOGY  
AND LOGISTICS

**THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE**

**3010 DEFENSE PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3010**

OCT 22 2003

**MEMORANDUM FOR INFRASTRUCTURE STEERING GROUP MEMBERS**

**Subject: Coordination of Draft Selection Criteria**

The Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) statute requires the Department to develop selection criteria to use in its analytical process. Not later than December 31, 2003, the Department must publish draft selection criteria in the *Federal Register* for a thirty day public comment period. The Department must submit the final criteria to the congressional defense committees, and publish them in the *Federal Register*, by February 16, 2004. Unless the criteria are disapproved by an Act of Congress, they become final on March 15, 2004.

Attached are the draft selection criteria I intend to forward, through the Infrastructure Executive Council, to the Secretary for his approval and subsequent publication in the *Federal Register*. The attached criteria are the same as those I issued as interim selection criteria in my June 27, 2003, memorandum.

Please provide your coordination and any comments to the OSD BRAC Office by October 31, 2003.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael W. Wynne".

Michael W. Wynne  
Acting USD (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)  
Chairman, Infrastructure Steering Group

Attachment  
As stated



## Draft Final Selection Criteria

### **Military Value**

1. The current and future mission requirements and the impact on operational readiness of the Department of Defense's total force, including impacts on joint warfighting, training, and readiness.
2. The availability and condition of land, facilities and associated airspace, including training areas suitable for maneuver by ground, naval, or air forces throughout a diversity of climate and terrain areas and staging areas for the use of the Armed Forces in homeland defense missions, at both existing and potential receiving locations.
3. The ability to accommodate contingency, mobilization, and future total force requirements at both existing and potential receiving locations to support operations and training.
4. The cost and manpower implications.

### **Return on Investment**

5. The extent and timing of potential costs and savings, including the number of years, beginning with the date of completion of the closure or realignment, for the savings to exceed the costs.

### **Impacts**

6. The economic impact on existing communities in the vicinity of military installations.
7. The ability of both the existing and potential receiving communities' infrastructure to support forces, missions and personnel.
8. The environmental impact, including the impact of costs related to potential environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities.