



ACQUISITION,
TECHNOLOGY
AND LOGISTICS

THE UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

DCN: 10185

3010 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20301-3010

NOV 9 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR CHAIRMEN, JOINT CROSS-SERVICE GROUPS

SUBJECT: Military Value Report Guidance

I appreciate your efforts in finalizing your capacity analysis reports. The results of your capacity analysis, together with the results of your military value analysis, will establish the analytical basis for making closure and realignment recommendations. This memorandum provides you with the necessary guidance and template for submitting reports on the results of your military value analysis.

Following on the progressive close concept used for the capacity analysis reports, please provide an initial military value report to the ISG by November 17, 2004, with a weekly update until the analysis is complete. Please recall that the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) has determined that military value consists of both a quantitative and qualitative component. This report will provide the results of your quantitative military value analysis and must be based on the approach briefed to and approved by the ISG. If you have deviated from the ISG approved military value approach (e.g., altering attributes or metric weights), please explain these changes and the rationale for making them in your report.

Please use the attached template to develop and structure your report. Additionally, as part of your introduction, please provide the date by which you estimate you will submit your final military value report to the ISG.

If you have questions regarding these requirements, please contact Peter Potochney, Director, BRAC, at 614-5356.

Michael W. Wynne
Acting USD (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics)
Chairman, Infrastructure Steering Group

Attachments:
As stated

cc: Infrastructure Steering Group Members
MilDep BRAC DASs



JCSG Military Value Analysis Report Template

Section 1: Introduction

- Provide a summary of the military value approach for each of the functions and/or sub-functions within the JCSG portfolio.
- Summarize your overall military value analysis and results.
- Identify any instances where the military value analysis approach changed from the Military Value Analysis Approach approved by the ISG and the rationale for making that change.
- Provide the date by which you estimate your group will provide its final military value report to the ISG.

Section 2: Military Value Score (arrayed in a table format)

- For each approved function and sub-function within the JCSG portfolio, provide a numerical score by function and by location arrayed 1 to N in a table format: You should have a table for every score plan. For example:

Education and Training JCSG	
Flight Training, Undergraduate Fixed Wing	
Installation/Location	Numerical Military Value Score
NAS Boat	82.05
Jet AFB	81.06
Mindanao AFB	77.01
NAS Independent	62.88
Etc.	Etc.

Education and Training JCSG	
Flight Training, Joint Strike Fighter	
Installation/Location	Numerical Military Value Score
NAS Top Gun	88.06
Red Flag AFB	88.02
Blue Flag AFB	86.00
MCAS Green Flag	72.01
Etc.	Etc.

Education and Training JCSG	
Initial Skills Training	
Installation/Location	Numerical Military Value Score
Podunk AFB	83.06
Prop AFB	81.07
FT Dirt	81.06
NS Nowhere	75.03
NS Somewhere	74.00
FT Sod	69.05
Etc.	Etc.

Section 3: Issues Impacting Analysis

- Describe any unresolved issues affecting completion of your Military Value analysis and the actions you have taken to resolve those issues. If you need ISG assistance, clearly describe the nature of the assistance you require.