



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
1000 NAVY PENTAGON  
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

DCN 8691

18 August 2005

**MEMORANDUM FOR THE HEADQUARTERS AND SUPPORT ACTIVITIES, JOINT  
CROSS SERVICE GROUPS**

**Subject: DON RESPONSE TO HS&A-JCSG CLEARINGHOUSE TASKER #896C,**

**Enclosure: BRAC COMMISSION 33: BUMED Costs, 11 August 2005**

The subject tasker requested DON to collect data concerning BOS costs and cost avoidance for BUMED, Potomac Annex, Washington, DC. On 11 August 2005, DON issued a data call entitled, "BRAC COMMISSION 33: BUMED Costs". The data call and certified response are provided in the enclosure.

It should be noted that the BOS costs identified in the first question response are already included as part of the Criterion Five certified data and are already reflected in the COBRA Static Data for IF Potomac Annex, and thus should not be entered as additional "recurring savings" in the COBRA analysis. It should also be noted that the potential cost avoidances brought forth in the second question response are maintenance and repair projects are not yet in the budget. Accordingly, under the rule set we used in the BRAC process OSD-wide, they would not constitute cost avoidances to be entered into COBRA separately from the automatically calculated "Sustainment" and "Recapitalization" recurring savings that would be triggered when building square footage is "shut down" or the base is "closed" in the COBRA analysis.

The Department of the Navy continues to support the original recommendation to retain Potomac Annex for BUMED. In the event BUMED is relocated, DON still requires Potomac Annex for possible future realignment of Navy activities in the NCR. I would appreciate it if you would make this point in your response to the commission.

I certify that the information provided above is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I trust this information satisfactorily addresses your concerns. If we can be of further assistance, please let me know.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Anne Rathmell Davis".

Anne Rathmell Davis  
Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy  
For Base Realignment and Closure

**Data Call:** BRAC Commission 33: BUMED Costs, 11 August

**Printed By:** paul.warhola **Source:** BUMED\_WASHINGTON\_DC **Date:** 8/15/2005 **Time:** 1324 hrs.

**Table Of Contents**

**1. BUMED Costs**

**DoD1000375 BOS & Sustainment Costs**

**DoD1000376 Cost Avoidance**

**Section : BOS & Sustainment Costs**

**DoD1000375 Is the BOS & sustainment issue correctly addressed in the previously received certified data that concerns BUMED relocating from Potomac Annex? If not, please indicate what figures should be included in a COBRA run as cost savings.**

(Text) In summary, the previously certified data is generally correct. Scenario Data Call DON 0072 question DoD 28127 identified costs for State Dept Security Guards, and under the heading of GSA, all other Base Operating Support Costs. These costs were not identified as savings as it was presumed that BUMED will have to pay its fair share for gate guards, utilities, facility services, and facility maintenance wherever it was relocated to. These costs should be approximately similar and not result in any appreciable net savings. The annual cost for the Navy share of guard services is \$500K, GSA costs are \$2,550K.

**Section : Cost Avoidance**

**DoD1000376 What, if any, costs at Potomac Annex would be avoided if BUMED leaves Potomac Annex?**

(Text) BUMED is drafting a long range maintenance plan to address known deficiencies in the aging infrastructure at Potomac Annex. Projects identified include: \$10M for repair/replacement of water and sewer utilities; \$5M for installation of new and replacement of aged elevators, and other actions to comply with Americans with Disabilities Act; \$2.6M for fire egress upgrades for buildings 5,6,7 (life safety code); \$1M to resurface roads and parking after utility repairs completed; \$15M for preservation, habitability, life safety, and Americans with Disabilities Act improvements for bldg 2 (the old Naval Observatory that is on the National Historic Register); \$10M to replace the retaining wall on 23rd Street (project responsibility is not resolved - this is a DC city owned structure).