

**INGENIUM**  
CORPORATION

**AUG 04 2005**

Received

August 2, 2005

Commission Chairman Anthony J. Principi,  
2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 South Clark Street, Suite 600  
Arlington, Va. 22202-3920

Dear Chairman Principi,

My name is Melvin Petty and I am President and Chief Operating Officer for Ingenium Corporation. Ingenium Corporation is an Information Technology Solutions provider headquartered in Upper Marlboro, Maryland. While we are headquartered in Maryland, Ingenium has about 250 employees located across eleven states throughout the country including Alabama and Ohio. We currently have a number of employees working in support of the government's customers on both the Wright Patterson Air Force Base and the Gunther Maxwell Air Force Base.

DOD has recommended that certain functions from these bases be realigned to other areas. The Ingenium Employees that are working at these two bases are local residents that are a part of the fabric of these Armed forces communities. We support the desires of these communities to continue as partners in the defense of our nation.

While we are a small business, we pride ourselves on being good corporate citizens. Supporting Sec. Rumsfeld's recommendations to remove certain government operations that would have a negative impact on these communities would restrict our ability to continue the level of support that we so often make available. We would ask that the Commission please consider a provision allowing the execution of contracting engagements in cost friendly areas such as Montgomery, Alabama and Dayton, Ohio.

We commend you and the commissioners for taking on such a daunting and complicated task. We compliment DOD for their hard work in recommending these changes to enhance the country's national security.

On behalf of the Ingenium Corporation, we thank you for the opportunity to comment on issues of such extreme importance. We are extremely proud and appreciative for the work that we do with the Armed Services of the United States.

Sincerely,



Melvin Petty

July 27, 2005

Honorable Anthony J. Principi, Commissioner  
Base Realignment and Closure Commission  
2521 South Clark Ste. 600  
Arlington, VA 22202

BRAC Commission

AUG 01 2005

Received

Dear Commissioner Principi,

I greatly appreciate the thoughtful and thorough review of the BRAC Commission. As you approach your final decision I ask for your consideration and support of two key issues:

First, the Cryptologic Systems Group (CPSG), is an extraordinarily efficient and critical organization sustaining many of our nation's most sensitive intelligence and security operations. The CPSG provides a one of a kind "one-stop" response for very time critical security needs. It does not make good "business sense" to divide this type of operation to 6 different DOD organizations across four separate states managed by multiple organizations. More importantly the security and intelligence provided will suffer certain degradation to the detriment of our military forces if the proposed realignments occur. Now is not the time to break apart the highly successful and critical intelligence mission performed by the CPSG.

Second, we think that the DOD proposal to establish a Joint Regional Medical Command and merging USAF Hospital Wilford Hall with Brook Army Medical Center, and standing up a Joint Training Command at Ft. Sam Houston makes good sense. We suggest that you carry through with that newly created synergy, and realign the Air Force School of Medicine under this new Joint Training Command in San Antonio and not move it to Wright-Paterson AFB, OH. That same logic holds true for the AF Institute of Operational Health (AFOPH), and the Directed Energy Bioeffects research lab which should both stay in San Antonio creating greater military medicine synergy. The AFIOH should reengage in forming a public-private partnership to increase military value and the Directed Energy Bioeffects research should remain a joint program in its high quality facility at Brooks City Base.

I believe that overwhelming and compelling evidence has been provided to your staff to support these changes to the original DOD recommendations. I would appreciate your review and support of these two topics.

I appreciate the enormity of your task, and your consideration. Thank you on behalf of all the citizens of the Great State Texas and our Nation.

Very Respectfully,



R.K. Guest  
Chief Operating Officer

541 Rustic Trail  
Beavercreek, Ohio 45434

July 29, 2005

BRAC Commission

The Honorable Anthony J. Principi - Chairman  
2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission  
2521 S. Clark St., Ste. 600  
Arlington, Virginia 22202

**AUG 01 2005**

Received

Dear Mr. Principi:

I am writing to support keeping the Air Force Institute of Technology at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

I am a retired Air Force officer who spent nearly nine years of my twenty year career on the faculty of AFIT. I was also a program manager at the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, so I have a familiarity with graduate programs at many universities around the country.

During my time on the AFIT faculty, I was impressed by the dedication of AFIT students to their studies and with the amount of knowledge they gained and the useful work they produced in their theses in just an 18 month Master's degree program. The quality of the AFIT residence program is not duplicated in most civilian Master's programs.

The Air Force also saves resources by the close proximity of AFIT and the Air Force laboratories on Wright-Patterson. During my time at AFIT, I participated in several formal laboratory research programs where the savings to the Air Force are well documented. In addition, there were many occasions where a quick visit from a laboratory researcher or program manager cleared up a statistical question that put a program back on the right track. Had that not happened, problems would have developed further into the program that would have entailed considerable additional expense to correct. Savings from this kind of collaboration are difficult to quantify, but they are nonetheless quite real. Had AFIT been located elsewhere, or not existed at all, it is unlikely that this kind of quick clarification would have occurred.

It is my belief that AFIT is a valuable resource for the Air Force and the Department of Defense, and it is most valuable in its present location at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.

Sincerely,



Brian W. Woodruff, Ph.D  
Associate Professor, AFIT, Retired